ORANGE LINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY MEETING

Wednesday, October 13, 2004

Cerritos Sheriff's Station/Community Center
Community Meeting Room
18135 Bloomfield Avenue
Cerritos

Buffet Dinner – 6:00 p.m.
Meeting – 6:30 p.m.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Introduction of Attendees
4. Public Comments
5. Approval of Minutes of September 8, 2004
6. Membership and Financial Status
7. Selection of Chair and Vice Chair
8. Adoption of Conflict of Interest Code
9. Status on Development Partner and Environmental Services Consultant Contracts Negotiations
10. Status on Federal Legislation
11. Approval of Warrants
12. Communication Items to the Board
13. Adjournment
# ORANGE LINE AUTHORITY MEETING
October 13, 2004
6:30 p.m.
Cerritos Sheriff’s Station/Community Safety Center

## ATTENDANCE LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>E-Mail Address</th>
<th>City/Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W. Michael McCormick</td>
<td>Council Member</td>
<td>WMHMT 414 @ AOL.COM</td>
<td>Vernon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Brooks</td>
<td>S.I. Analyst</td>
<td></td>
<td>Palmdale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwan Caffey</td>
<td>Planning Assistant</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerritos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert A. Lopez</td>
<td>Associate Planner</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ralopez@ci.cenitos.ca.us">ralopez@ci.cenitos.ca.us</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amit Shirmaule</td>
<td>Associate Trans. Analyst</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ashirmaule@octa.com">ashirmaule@octa.com</a></td>
<td>OCTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Freeman</td>
<td>Council Member</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOS ALAMITOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Barrows</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:bbarrows0703@aol.com">bbarrows0703@aol.com</a></td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Kuski</td>
<td>PRTC</td>
<td><a href="mailto:prtcconsult@mindspings.com">prtcconsult@mindspings.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darren Callahan</td>
<td>PRTC Consulting</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dcprtc@aol.com">dcprtc@aol.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Lefever</td>
<td>D1 of CD</td>
<td><a href="mailto:slefever@soagate.org">slefever@soagate.org</a></td>
<td>SOUTH GATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Chun</td>
<td>Dept. City Admin</td>
<td>kchun @ bellflower.org</td>
<td>Bellflower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Hansen</td>
<td>Councilman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Pena</td>
<td>Mayor Pro Tem</td>
<td><a href="mailto:spena@city.of.maywood.000.com">spena@city.of.maywood.000.com</a></td>
<td>Maywood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack Joseph</td>
<td>Deputy Exec. Director</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jackjoseph@earthlink.net">jackjoseph@earthlink.net</a></td>
<td>Gateway Cities Cog.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Welty</td>
<td></td>
<td>PWELTY @ BELLFLOWER.ORG</td>
<td>CITY OF Bellflower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Martin</td>
<td>Councilman</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tmartin@orced.com">tmartin@orced.com</a></td>
<td>Maywood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Name</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>City/Agency</td>
<td>E-Mail Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheryl McLean</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bassett</td>
<td>Mayor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith McCleary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MINUTES OF THE
ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (OLDA)
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2004

CALL TO ORDER

OLDA Chairperson Hector De La Torre called the meeting to order at 6:39 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

City of Maywood Vice Mayor Samuel Peña led the assembly in the salute to the flag.

INTRODUCTION OF ATTENDEES

Ryan Carey – Planning Assistant, City of Cerritos
Robert A. Lopez – Associate Planner, City of Cerritos
Sharael Mulchand – Planning Manager, MTA
✓ W. Michael McCormick – Councilmember, City of Vernon
✓ Scott A. Larsen – Councilmember, City of Bellflower
✓ Steve Hofbauer – Councilmember, City of Palmdale
Steve Lefever – Director of Community Development, City of South Gate
Albert Perdon – OLDA Executive Director
John Brooks – Senior Analyst, City of Palmdale
Pam Welty – Management Assistant, City of Bellflower
Will Rigley – Shapery Enterprise
Sandy Shapery – Shapery Enterprise
Bruce Barrows – Lockheed Martin Corporation
Peter Lust – IABG
Kevin Chun – Deputy City Administrator, City of Bellflower
✓ Fred Freeman – Councilmember, City of Los Alamitos
Rosa M. Broderick – Marketing Coordinator, HDR Engineering
Jack Joseph – Deputy Executive Director, GCCOG
✓ John Noguez – Mayor, City of Huntington Park
Sharon Gi – Associate Planner, City of Cerritos
Yvette Abich – Assistant General Counsel, Colantuono & Levin
✓ Samuel Peña – Vice Mayor, City of Maywood
✓ Bob Hughlett – Mayor, City of Cerritos
Torrey Contreras – Advance Planning/Redevelopment Manager, City of Cerritos
✓ Hector De La Torre – OLDA Chair/Councilmember, City of South Gate
Richard Marcus – Manager, OCTA
✓ Keith McCarthy – Councilmember, City of Downey
Gary Petersen – Senior Project Manager, HDR Engineering
Cynthia Avila – Minutes Secretary

PUBLIC COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

OLDA Chairperson Hector De La Torre opened public comments for those in the audience who wished to address the Authority. There was no response and the public comment portion of the meeting was closed.
APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY MEETING OF AUGUST 11, 2004

MOTION: It was moved by City of Vernon Councilmember W. Michael McCormick to approve the August 11, 2004 minutes as submitted. The motion was seconded by City of Maywood Vice Mayor Samuel Peña and carried unanimously.

MEMBERSHIP AND FINANCIAL STATUS

Assistant General Counsel Yvette Abich reminded OLDA members that at the August 11, 2004 meeting, the Authority approved the First Amended Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement. She advised that the Agreement was sent to the City Managers of member cities asking that this item be agendized for City Council approval.

City of Cerritos Planning Assistant Ryan Carey informed the Board that membership had remained consistent since the last meeting. Furthermore, the Authority received over $93,000 in membership contributions for the fiscal year 2003-2004.

In addition, on August 13, 2004, staff mailed invoices to all member agency City Managers. As directed by the Board, included with the invoice was a copy of the Authority’s Budget and Business Plan. Mr. Carey reported that as of September 7, 2004, the Authority has received $13,000 in FY 2004-2005 membership dues.

MOTION: It was moved by the City of Bellflower Councilmember Scott A. Larsen to receive and file. The motion was seconded by the City of Los Alamitos Councilmember Fred Freeman and carried unanimously.

TREASURER’S REPORT


MOTION: It was moved by the City of Los Alamitos Councilmember Fred Freeman to receive and file. The motion was seconded by City of Vernon Councilmember W. Michael McCormick and carried unanimously.

SELECTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING SERVICES CONSULTANT (RFP 04-001)

OLDA Executive Director Albert Perdon informed the Authority that on April 30, 2004, the Authority issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) 04-001 for Environmental Planning Services. The purpose of this procurement was to secure consultant support in assessing and documenting the potential positive and adverse impacts of the Orangeline corridor development project. The work includes the preparation of economic and environmental impact documents (EIR/EIS) and other tasks pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

Thirty-nine firms downloaded the RFP from the Authority website. A pre-proposal conference was held on May 24, 2004. Sixty-four registered attendees participated in this pre-proposal conference.
Mr. Perdon stated that the Authority’s RFP generated a high level of competition among some of the best environmental planning firms in the country. Excellent proposals were submitted in response to the RFP from five teams representing a total of 39 firms.

OLDA Executive Director Albert Perdon reviewed the five teams’ scores, noting that HDR scored the highest of the five. He added that an OLDA selection panel interviewed the teams, met to complete the scoring of the proposals, and panel members also individually scored each proposal. The criteria the panel used were based on quality rather than cost. Therefore, each of the proposing teams submitted a cost proposal in a separate sealed envelope, to be opened following approval to negotiate an agreement with one or more firms.

OLDA Executive Director Albert Perdon then reviewed the three options OLDA had in selecting one or more of the teams. A discussion ensued regarding the Authority’s three options.

**MOTION:** It was moved by the City Huntington Park Mayor John Noguez to accept Option 2, to negotiate an agreement with the highest ranked/scoring firm and have the remaining firms serve as a “bench”; and to authorize staff to negotiate agreements with each of the firms, with the intent that the agreements will be brought back to the Board for approval prior to execution. The motion was seconded by City of Cerritos Mayor Bob Hughlett and carried unanimously.

**SELECTION OF DEVELOPMENT PARTNER (RFP 04-002)**

OLDA Executive Director Albert Perdon informed the Board that on April 30, 2004, the Authority issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) 04-002 for a Development Partner. He explained that the purpose of this procurement was to secure a development partner that will assist the Authority and its member cities in performing all the tasks necessary to plan, build, operate, maintain and fund the Orangeline, and to help member cities achieve their general and specific land use plans for future growth and development.

Sixty-eight firms downloaded the RFP forms from the Authority website. The Authority’s RFP generated four formal responses. Three of the responses were in letter form indicating the interest of the proposer to serve as the Authority’s development partner, or as a member of the team selected by the Authority to serve as development partner. One of the firms submitting a proposal letter subsequently withdrew its proposal. Two others were non-responsive in that they did not fully provide all of the information requested in the RFP. Executive Director Perdon reviewed the four firms representing 40 private and public entities in total.

The fourth proposal from Lockheed Martin Corporation Integrated Systems and Solutions was determined responsive by the evaluation panel. OLDA Executive Director Albert Perdon reviewed their proposal in further detail and added that they were the only firm that was fully responsive to the RFP.

Staff recommended three options: 1) reissue the RFP to seek additional proposals; 2) authorize staff to negotiate an agreement with Lockheed Martin; or 3) reject all proposals.

OLDA Executive Director Albert Perdon recommended that the Authority authorize staff to enter into a contract with Lockheed Martin Corporation Integrated Systems and Solutions.
A discussion ensued regarding the Authority's three options, the three non-responsive proposals, and what the agreement would mean to member cities.

Staff noted that they were very impressed with the Lockheed Martin team's experience and presence at the selection panel meeting.

**MOTION:** It was moved by City of Cerritos Mayor Bob Hughlett to negotiate and enter into a development partner agreement with Lockheed Martin Corporation Integrated Systems and Solutions. The motion was seconded by the City of Los Alamitos Councilmember Fred Freeman and carried unanimously.

Bruce Barrows, representing Lockheed Martin Corporation, stated the firm's intentions and advised the Authority that the firm has allowed a budget of up to $750,000 for the Orangeline project. He thanked the Authority for providing the opportunity for Lockheed Martin to work with them as their development partner.

**Rail~Volition Conference**

OLDA Executive Director Albert Perdon informed the Authority that *Rail~Volition 2004: Building Livable Communities with Transit*, will be held September 18-22, 2004 in Southern California for those who would like to attend. A brief discussion ensued.

**MOTION:** It was moved by the City of Vernon Councilmember W. Michael McCormick to receive and file. The motion was seconded by the City of Bellflower Councilmember Scott A. Larsen and carried unanimously.

**COMMUNICATION ITEMS TO THE BOARD**

OLDA Executive Director Albert Perdon gave a brief report on the status of the freight study being undertaken with the Center for the Commercial Deployment of Transportation Technologies. The Authority's interest in this study is in relation to the possibility of transporting freight on OLDA's future corridor and the possible conflicts this may create between passenger and freight services.

OLDA Chairperson Hector De La Torre suggested that the Authority select another Chairperson and asked that this be placed on the next agenda.

**ADJOURNMENT**

It was moved by the City of Maywood Vice Mayor Samuel Peña to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by the City of Vernon Councilmember W. Michael McCormick and carried unanimously.
There being no further business to come before the Orangeline Development Authority, the meeting was adjourned at 7:50 p.m. The next meeting will be held October 13, 2004 at 6:30 p.m.

Attest:

Secretary

Chairperson

Approved: October 13, 2004
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Members of the Orangeline Development Authority

FROM: Albert Perdon, Executive Director

DATE: October 13, 2004

SUBJECT: MEMBERSHIP AND FINANCIAL STATUS

To date, thirteen cities have adopted the Orangeline Development Authority Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement, effectively forming a joint powers authority (JPA). This total includes twelve of the twenty cities along the southern segment of the Orangeline corridor; the thirteenth city is Palmdale, the joining of which established a new northern segment for the Orangeline. (See the map on the next page.)
In total, twenty-six cities (including Los Angeles County) are featured in the maps above. Three cities have passed a resolution supporting formation of the Orangeline Development Authority, but have not yet joined the JPA.

**FINANCIAL STATUS**

In August 2004, staff sent out invoices for member contributions to all of the Orangeline Member Cities. These contributions for fiscal year 2004-2005 will be applied toward administrative and consulting costs during the pre-deployment phase of the Orangeline. To date, the Authority has received $93,150.78 in payments from eight (8) member cities.

The status of each Member's contribution is detailed in the table on the following page.

*(continued on next page)*
Orange Line Member Contributions for FY 2003-2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Cities</th>
<th>Total Amount Due</th>
<th>Amount Received</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artesia</td>
<td>$4,671.10</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell</td>
<td>$3,777.87</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellflower</td>
<td>$13,719.15</td>
<td>$13,719.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td>$13,087.72</td>
<td>$13,087.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cudahy</td>
<td>$4,305.31</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downey</td>
<td>$7,829.69</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington Park</td>
<td>$7,994.21</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Alamitos</td>
<td>$680.62</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maywood</td>
<td>$2,681.60</td>
<td>$2,681.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmdale</td>
<td>$24,579.29</td>
<td>$24,579.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramount</td>
<td>$10,906.53</td>
<td>$10,906.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Gate</td>
<td>$18,179.57</td>
<td>$18,179.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vernon</td>
<td>$5,325.92</td>
<td>$5,325.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total - Member Cities</strong></td>
<td><strong>$117,738.58</strong></td>
<td><strong>$93,150.78</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to these contributions, the Authority is currently working toward obtaining funds from federal sources. The contributions made by Members will demonstrate to external parties the commitment by the Member Cities to development of the Orange Line, thus increasing the Authority's credibility and the likelihood of receiving such external funds.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The following is recommended to the Orangeline Development Authority:

1. Review and discuss the information provided; and
2. Receive and file.
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Members of the Orange Line Development Authority

FROM: Albert Perdon, Executive Director

DATE: October 13, 2004

SUBJECT: Selection of Chairman and Vice Chairman

At the Board’s September 8, 2004 meeting, Chairman De La Torre recommended that the Board act to appoint a new Chairman for the Authority. The authority’s By-laws call for the election of a Chairman and Vice Chairman to take place in July. The Board did not hold a meeting in July and thus did not take an action to select a new Chairman or Vice Chairman.

The following is recommended to the Board:

1. Review and discuss the information provided; and

2. Elect a new Chairman and Vice Chairman.
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Members of the Orange Line Development Authority

FROM: Albert Perdon, Executive Director

DATE: October 13, 2004

SUBJECT: Adoption of Conflict of Interest Code

The Orangeline Development Authority is required by Government Code Section 87300 to adopt a conflict of interest code. Section 18750.1 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations governs the procedure pursuant to which the Authority may adopt a conflict of interest code.

The Authority published a draft Conflict of Interest Code for public comment. A 45-day period was established for written comments regarding the Authority’s proposal to adopt its Conflict of Interest Code. The 45-day written comment period expired on August 9, 2004, with no written comments submitted to the Authority.

The Fair Political Practices Commission oversees the implementation of the conflict of interest code. The Commission has reviewed the Authority’s proposed conflict of interest code and recommended certain revisions. The Commission’s recommended revisions have been incorporated into the Authority’s Conflict of Interest Code.

RECOMMENDATION

The following is recommended to the Board:

1. Review and discuss the information provided; and
2. Adopt the attached Resolution entitled:

"A RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ADOPTING A CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE"

Attachment: A RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ADOPTING A CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE
RESOLUTION NO. 2004-——

A RESOLUTION OF THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
ADOPTING A CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE

WHEREAS, the Orangeline Development Authority ("Authority") is required by Government Code Section 87300 to adopt a conflict of interest code;

WHEREAS, 18750.1 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations governs the procedure pursuant to which the Authority may adopt a conflict of interest code;

WHEREAS, the a 45-day period was established for written comments regarding the Authority's proposal to adopt its conflict of interest code;

WHEREAS, the 45-day written comment period expired on August 9, 2004, with no written comments submitted to the Agency;

WHEREAS, the Fair Political Practices Commission reviewed the Authority's proposed conflict of interest code and recommended certain revisions;

WHEREAS, the Fair Political Practices Commission's recommended revisions have been incorporated into the Authority's conflict of interest code.

THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DOES HEREBY FIND, RESOLVE AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Authority's conflict of interest code, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as Exhibit "A", is hereby adopted.

Section 2. The Authority's conflict of interest code is authorized to be submitted to the Fair Political Practices Commission.

Section 3. The Secretary shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED this ___ day of October, 2004.

AYES: Board Members:
NOES: Board Members:
ABSTAIN: Board Members:

Hector De La Torre, Chairman
Resolution 2004-__
Adoption of Conflict of Interest Code

ATTEST:

__________________________
Art Gallucci
Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

__________________________
Yvette M. Abich
Orangeline Development Authority
Assistant General Counsel
Resolution 2004-
Adoption of Conflict of Interest Code

EXHIBIT "A"
ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE
CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE
FOR THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

The Political Reform Act, Government Code Section 81000, *et seq.*, requires each state and local government agency to adopt and promulgate a conflict of interest code. The Fair Political Practices Commission has adopted a regulation, 2 Cal. Cod of Regs. Section 18730, which contains the terms of a standard conflict of interest code. It can be incorporated by reference and may be amended by the Fair Political Practices Commission after public notice and hearings to conform to amendments in the Political Reform Act. Therefore, the terms of 2 Cal. Code of Regs. Section 18730, and any amendments to it duly adopted by the Fair Political Practices Commission are hereby incorporated by reference and, along with the attached Appendix in which members and employees are designated and disclosure categories are set forth, and the place of filing is specified, shall constitute the conflict of interest code of the Orangeline Development Authority.

Designated employees shall file statements of economic interests with the Orangeline Development Authority who shall make the statements available for public inspection and reproduction. Upon receipt of the statements of the Executive Director and Board Members, the Agency shall make and retain copies of the statements and send originals to the Fair Political Practices Commission.
# APPENDIX A

## Designated Positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designated Positions</th>
<th>Disclosure Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board Members</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate Board Members</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Counsel</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant General Counsel</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice-Chair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer &amp; Auditor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultants**

**Consultants shall be included in the list of designated employees and shall disclose pursuant to the broadest disclosure category in the code subject to the following limitation:**

The Executive Director may determine in writing that a particular consultant, although a “designated position,” is hired to perform a range of duties that is limited in scope and thus is not required to comply fully with the disclosure requirements described in this Section. Such determination shall include a description of the consultant’s duties and based upon that description, a statement of the extent of disclosure requirements. The Executive Director’s determination is a public record and shall be retained for public inspection in the same manner and location as this conflict of interest code.
APPENDIX B

Disclosure Categories

Category 1

Persons in this category shall disclose all investments and business entities in, and income, including gifts, loans and travel payments from:

1. Entities that manufacture, provide or sell services, supplies, materials, machinery, vehicles or equipment of the type utilized by the Authority;

2. Entities that provide services, material, products, machinery, vehicles and/or equipment utilized in the planning, financing, development, acquisition, construction, operation and/or maintenance of transportation facilities; and

3. Entities that provide services utilized in the issuance of bonds in the State of California for funding, development, construction and/or operations of transportation facilities.

Category 2

Persons in this category shall disclose all interests in real property located in the jurisdiction of the Orangeline Development Authority.
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Members of the Orange Line Development Authority

FROM: Albert Perdon, Executive Director

DATE: October 13, 2004

SUBJECT: Status of Development Partner and Environmental Services Consultant Contract Negotiations

At its September 8, 2004 meeting, the Board approved staff recommendations to negotiate and execute an agreement with Lockheed Martin to serve as the Authority’s development partner. The Board also approved recommendations to negotiate an agreement with HDR Corporation to serve as the Authority’s environmental planning services consultant, as well as four other firms to serve as a “bench” for future planning services.

Since the September 8 meeting, staff has had preliminary, pre-negotiating meetings and discussions with Lockheed Martin in preparation for negotiation of a development partner agreement. Staff also issued an invitation to the City Managers/Administrators of the Authority’s member cities to serve on the Contract Negotiation Committee. A first meeting was held to outline the points of the proposed agreement and to develop the Authority’s positions for negotiations. Staff is preparing for a second meeting of the Contract Negotiation Committee, which is planned for this month. Efforts are being made to expand participation of City Managers/Administrators in the committee.

It is anticipated that negotiations of a development partner agreement with Lockheed will take several weeks to complete and will likely not be finalized for recommendation to the Board until after the November meeting.

Negotiations of agreements with the environmental planning firms are planned for this month also. Staff hopes to bring recommendations for these contracts to the Board in November.

The following is recommended to the Board:

1. Review and discuss the information provided; and

2. Receive and file.
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Members of the Orangeline Development Authority

FROM: Albert Perdon, Executive Director

DATE: October 13, 2004

SUBJECT: Status of Federal Legislation

During the past several weeks it became apparent to many that Congress would give up passing the transportation reauthorization bill before its planned adjournment on October 8. That is exactly what happened.

Instead of passing a bill, Congress approved an extension of the current surface transportation program until next May 31, 2005 (H.R. 5183). It is theoretically possible for lawmakers to act on the bill immediately after the election, however most believe that there is little chance of resolving current differences during a "lame duck" session. By extending the current program by eight-months, Congress has signaled it does not intend to complete action on the bill during the current session of Congress. The extension of the current program is the sixth since TEA-21 expired on October 1, 2003.

H.R. 5183 maintains transportation programs at current levels – $24.5 billion for highways, a slight increase over the FY2004 spending rate. It also extends the budgetary firewalls established under TEA 21 and directs the revenue from the 2.5-cent ethanol tax into the Highway Trust Fund. H.R. 5183 restores to the core programs the $1.8 billion in obligation authority that had been withheld earlier and that House leaders hoped to use as a down-payment on the "high priority" projects earmarked in the House version of the reauthorization bill.

The Orangeline was included in the House version of the bill (H.R. 3550) as a "high priority" project. Included was an earmark of $300,000.

The following is recommended to the Board:

1. Review and discuss the information provided; and
2. Receive and file.
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Members of the Orangeline Development Authority

FROM: Albert Perdon, Executive Director

DATE: October 13, 2004

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF WARRANTS

Staff recommends that the Board approve payment of the following invoice:

1. Transrapid-USA - $22,500.

   For professional services rendered for the Orangeline/Bellflower Greenway Project. The invoice represents a final payment for 50% of the project work completed under the contract between the Authority and TRI-USA. Final work products and final payment have been approved by the City of Bellflower. Payment will be drawn from grant funds from the City of Bellflower.
DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Members of the Orangeline Development Authority

FROM: Albert Perdon, Executive Director

DATE: October 13, 2004

SUBJECT: COMMUNICATION ITEMS TO THE BOARD

Treasurer’s Report

The Treasurer’s Report for the month of September 2004 is shown in Attachment A.

Meetings

- Staff attended the September 30, 2004 meeting of the I-710 Oversight Policy Committee (OPC). The OPC’s Tier 2 Community Advisory Committee (CAC) and the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) submitted their reports and recommendations for consideration in adoption of an “I-710 Locally Preferred Strategy.” TAC recommends an expansion of the 8-lane Long Beach Freeway to 14 lanes, including a 4-lane truckway. CAC presented its priorities for the I-710 Corridor, which are:
  1. This is a corridor – considerations go beyond the freeway and infrastructure.
  2. Health is the overriding consideration
  3. Every action should be viewed as an opportunity for repair and improvement of the current situation.

CAC also established “conditions” for any major infrastructure improvements, including the following:

“Prior to adopting a preferred alternative, the OPC must conduct a study and cost benefits analysis of potential goods movement alternatives (such as a maglev system for freight movement) as an alternative to increasing the capacity of the I-710 Freeway.”

- Staff attended “Access LA” on October 7, 2004. Sponsored by the Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce, Access LA affords the business community an opportunity to meet with the Los Angeles City Council and Mayor. Staff networked with several City Council members to give an update on the Authority and to extend an invitation to the City of Los Angeles to join the Authority.

Adoption of First Amended Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement

The following cities have adopted the First Amended Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement: Los Alamitos, Bellflower and Downey. Approval of the First Amended Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement by nine member agencies is required for the Agreement to take effect.
TREASURER'S REPORT
ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
September 2004

Beginning Balance (9-1-04) $115,708.43

Receipts (Member Contributions) 93,150.78

Expenditures:

Board Member Stipends 1,300.00

1,300.00

Ending Balance (9-30-04) $207,559.21

Jack M. Joseph
Treasurer