

The Orangeline Development Authority is a joint powers agency formed to pursue deployment of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev system in Southem California. The Authority is composed of the following

> City of Artesia City of Bell

public agencies:

City of Bellflower

City of Cerritos

City of Cudahy

City of Downey

City of Glendale*

City of Huntington Park

City of Los Alamitos**

City of Maywood

City of Palmdale

City of Paramount

City of Santa Ana*

City of Santa Clarita City of South Gate

City of Vernon

Chair

Kirk Cartozian Councilmember, City of Downey

Vice Chair

Vacant

Secretary/Treasurer

W. Michael McCormick Councilmember, City of Vernon

Auditor

Scott A. Larsen Councilmember, City of Bellflower

City of South Gate

General Counsel

Michael Colantuono Colantuono & Levin, PC

Executive Directo

Albert Perdon, P.E.

Supporting Agencies

Gateway Cities Council of Governments

Southern California Association of Governments

City of Garden Grove

City of Long Beach

City of Stanton

*City Council has approved City joining the Authority

> **City membership is currently inactive

ORANGELINE HIGH SPEED MAGLEV

ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY REGULAR MEETING

Wednesday, June 11, 2008

16401 Paramount Boulevard Paramount, CA 90723

Buffet Dinner – 6:00 p.m. Regular Meeting – 6:30 p.m.

AGENDA

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Roll Call and Introduction of Attendees
- 4. Public Comments
- 5. Approval of Meeting Minutes of May 14, 2008
- Adopt a Resolution of the Board of Directors of the Orangeline Development Authority to Approve the Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget
- 7. Approval of Warrant Register
- 8. Communication Items to the Authority Board
- 9. Communication Items from the Authority Board
- 10. Closed Session: Public Employee Performance Evaluation (Government Code sec. 54957)

Title: Executive Director

11. Adjournment – Next meeting July 9, 2008

DRAFT MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY WEDNESDAY, MAY 14, 2008

CALL TO ORDER

City of Downey Councilman and Board Chair Kirk Cartozian called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

City of Vernon Councilmember Michael McCormick led the assembly in the salute to the flag.

ROLL CALL AND INTRODUCTION OF ATTENDEES

Board Members:

<u>_</u>

 \Box

Kirk Cartozian – Authority Chair, Councilmember, City of Downey

W. Michael McCormick - Authority Treasurer/Secretary, Councilmember, City of Vernon

Bruce Barrows – Authority Vice Chair, Mayor Pro Tem, City of Cerritos

John Noguez - Councilmember, City of Huntington Park

Ana Rosa Rizo - Councilmember, City of Maywood

Daryl Hofmeyer – Councilmember, City of Paramount

Steve Hofbauer – Councilmember, City of Palmdale

Scott Larsen - Mayor, City of Bellflower

Maria Davila - Councilmember, City of South Gate

Frank Gurulé – Vice Mayor, City of Cudahy

Others:

Albert Perdon – Executive Director, Orangeline Development Authority

Sharad Mulchand – Transportation Planning Manager, MTA

Rory Burnett – City of Vernon

Kristin Huy – City of Cerritos

Roy Reynolds -

A. Perdon – Transit Media Consultants

Maria Shafer - Minute Secretary

PUBLIC COMMENTS

City of Downey Councilman and Board Chair Kirk Cartozian opened public comments for those in the audience who wished to address the Authority on other than agenda items. There was no response and the public comments section of the meeting was closed.

ITEM 5 - APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 9, 2008

MOTION: City of Cerritos Mayor Pro Tem Bruce Barrows moved to approve the Minutes from the meeting of April 9, 2008, as corrected. City of South Gate Councilmember Maria Davila seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Item 6 - APPOINTMENT OF AUTHORITY VICE CHAIR

The Chair reported this item was discussed at the last meeting and noted positions for Chair and Vice Chair will be reconsidered in the summer. He stated the Authority's By Laws requires that members of both Los Angeles County and Orange County serve as either Chair

E	or Vice Chair. However, since there are currently no Orange County cities Board Members, only members from Los Angeles County would occupy either of the positions. Mr. Kartozian emphasized that candidates for the positions need to be flexible with their schedules and requested input from the Board.
R O V	Discussion followed regarding elections regularly occurring in July. Mr. Perdon provided options for consideration by the Board. Discussion continued regarding length of terms, people previously nominated, work needed in Washington DC, resources currently available, the need to maintain momentum and continue moving the project forward and needing to act now.
٥	Board Member Steve Hofbauer reiterated his previous nomination of Bruce Barrows for Vice Chair of the Board.
A	Mr. Perdon noted the Authority is currently at a point where the Board will be visibly active in a political environment and the position will require a lot of work.
	Members of the Board spoke in support of Bruce Barrows for the position of Vice Chair.
\Box	The importance of choosing a Vice Chair at this point was noted.
_ -	City of Cudahy Vice Mayor Frank Gurulé stated he had previously nominated City of Vernon Councilmember Mike McCormick but that he spoke to him and he was willing to relinquish the nomination to City of Cerritos Mayor Pro Tem Bruce Barrows.
Z	MOTION: Board Member Hofbauer moved to name Bruce Barrows Vice Chair of the Orangeline Development Authority. Board Member Hofmeyer seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.
	City of Cerritos Mayor Pro Tem Bruce Barrows was declared Vice Chair of the Orangeline Development Authority.
∀	Discussion followed regarding the need for continuity in leadership. It was noted that the Chair's term will be running out in July. Vice Chair Barrows suggested the Chair remain in the position as long as he desires. The Chair suggested in the future, a Member from one of the northern cities be considered.
\bigcirc	MOTION: Vice Chair Barrows moved to leave the position of Chair as is to a date uncertain. Board Member Davila seconded the motion.
ш	Mr. Perdon reported that the Board will need to hold elections in July, as stated in the By- Laws.
ш	The motion failed.
0 Z	ITEM 7 - REPORT ON SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS APPROVAL OF 2008 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND RELATED ITEMS; CONSIDER POSSIBLE ACTION
\supset	The Chair introduced the item and deferred to Executive Director Al Perdon for a report.

	Mr. Perdon reported there was an action taken by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) to adopt the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). He added that the
Ш	Orangeline is in the Strategic Plan.
>	The Chair reported this is a work in progress and that cities were well represented. He referenced an email and request for a conference call by the MTA, OCTA and SCAG because
0	of a perception that the Orangeline High Speed Maglev cities along the proposed alignment were not being heard. Mr. Kartozian noted the objective of the conference call and reported he was able to meet with the three agencies. He reported the Orangeline project is in the
α	Strategic Plan and feels we are on the right path and are in a strong negotiating position.
	The Chair addressed frustration voiced publicly and noted the Orangeline Development Authority has a lot of credibility but needs to work within the system. He further reported
	talking to a non-quorum body who indicated they would support the Chair meeting with the three agencies. He wanted to be on record that he would accept the project being placed in
A	the Strategic Plan but that the Authority would have the option to petition to have the Orangeline Maglev be placed in the constrained portion of the RTP.
_	Members of the Board commended the Chair and Executive Director Perdon for their efforts and persistence. The Chair stated it was a collective effort.
_	Discussion followed regarding diversity of speakers and evidence that the project is moving forward and making progress. It was noted that the SCAG action was a difficult decision but
\vdash	the project will continue to be considered. Everyone will need to work together and within
Z	the system. The meeting resulted in the Orangeline High Speed Maglev project gaining support from other cities and agencies.
	Mr. Perdon added that the vote at the SCAG Transportation & Communications Committee (TC&C) to move the Orangeline project to the Strategic Plan was 15 to 9 and that the main argument was that SCAG staff felt that by putting the Orangeline High Speed Maglev into the
 	constrained plan would put the other projects at risk. He noted that there was not a quorum present when the TC&C voted and that he discussed the issue with legal council who indicated their by-laws allow for a decision without a quorum. He added there are 59
_	members in the TC&C committee and that the decision was made by a relatively small number of Committee members.
\bigcirc	Vice Chair Barrows added that support by Member Cities showed there is solidarity among the Authority's Los Angeles County cities.
_	ITEM 8 - REPORT ON ACTION TAKEN BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
ட	GLENDALE TO BECOME A MEMBER OF THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
ш	Mr. Perdon reported on the recent meeting of the Glendale City Council attended by a number of Authority Board members at which the City Council voted to join the Orangeline
0	Development Authority. He addressed voting statistics and reported the City of Glendale has not yet appointed a representative to the Board.
Z	ITEM 9 - APPROVAL OF WARRANT REGISTER
\supset	The Chair reported that a meeting of the budget committee needs to be called in order to develop a draft budget at the June meeting. He will send an email to the committee regarding the item.

ITEM 10 - COMMUNICATION ITEMS TO THE AUTHORITY BOARD Mr. Perdon referenced the Treasurer's report, pointed out the cash ending balance and reported there is an anticipated invoice related to the development conference that has not yet been submitted. Discussion followed regarding City fees and assessment due date. It was recommended that the Authority Board Budget Committee meet as soon as possible. In addition, it was suggested that City Managers should be provided with a letter indicating fees. Mr. Perdon was directed to send out such a letter. He reported fees will be as reflected in the current budget but with a cost of living increase. Mr. Perdon referenced the project milestones included in the packets for each City to get an \triangle idea of the progress made thus far. He added that having the City of Glendale join is a good accomplishment and emphasized actions of the Los Angeles City Council in June 2000 supporting construction of a Maglev system in Southern California. ITEM 11 - COMMUNICATION ITEMS FROM THE AUTHORITY BOARD City of Huntington Park Councilmember John Noguez announced an upcoming meet-andgreet event introducing John Perez to the community. Discussion followed regarding the upcoming California Contract Cities (CCC) program. It was reported that leaders of the CCC have encouraged Authority Board Members to bring the message to the conference as well as supporting literature. It was noted that there is a possibility that the Orangeline Development Authority will be part of the program which will present a good opportunity to spread the message about the Orangeline High Speed Maglev project. The Chair reported on a recent meeting with SCAG, MTA and OCTA to make them aware of the needs of the cities. The meeting resulted in an agreement to bring to the table \$3 million to study the Orangeline corridor alignment to determine options for moving people. City of South Gate Councilmember Maria Davila thanked all of the Members for attending the meeting and commended them for the accomplishments. Although it was a collaborative effort, good leadership was acknowledged. The Chair reported the Orangeline Development Authority will work within the system and agencies to study the corridor. He stressed that the Authority is in a good place to be part of the studies. \Box **ITEM 12 - ADJOURNMENT** \perp The Chair requested the meeting adjourn in memory of Ray Knabe, Supervisor Don Knabe's father. There being no further business to come before the Orangeline Development Authority, the

meeting was adjourned at 7:52 p.m. with a moment of silence in memory of Ray Knabe.

The next regularly scheduled meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 11, 2008.

Secretary Attest: Chair Approved: UNOFFICIAL





The Orangeline
Development Authority
is a joint powers agency
formed to pursue deployment
of the Orangeline High Speed
Maglev system in Southern
California. The Authority is
composed of the following
public agencies:

City of Artesia
City of Bell
City of Bellflower
City of Cerritos
City of Cudahy
City of Downey
City of Glendale*
City of Huntington Park
City of Los Alamitos**
City of Maywood
City of Palmdale
City of Paramount

City of Santa Ana*
City of Santa Clarita

City of South Gate
City of Vernon

Chair

Kirk Cartozian Councilmember, City of Downey

Vice Chair

Bruce Barrows Mayor Pro Tem, City of Cerritos

Secretary/Treasurer

W. Michael McCormick Councilmember, City of Vernon

<u>Auditor</u>

Scott A. Larsen Councilmember, City of Bellflower City of South Gate

only or South Gate

General Counsel

Michael Colantuono Colantuono & Levin, PC

Executive Director

Albert Perdon, P.E.

Supporting Agencies

Gateway Cities Council of Governments

Southern California Association of Governments

City of Garden Grove

City of Long Beach

City of Stanton

*City Council has approved City joining the Authority

> **City membership is currently inactive

ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

AGENDA REPORT

TO: Members of the Orangeline Development Authority

FROM: Albert Perdon, Executive Director

DATE: June 11, 2008

SUBJECT: Orangeline Development Authority Draft Fiscal Year

2008-2009 Budget and Business Plan

RECOMMENDATION

That the Authority Board adopts the attached Resolution:

A RESOLUTION OF THE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ADOPTING THE FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009 BUDGET AND BUSINESS PLAN

DISCUSSION

Attached is the Draft Orangeline Development Authority Budget and Business Plan for Fiscal Year 2008-2009. On June 5, 2008 the Authority Board Budget Committee met to review the key fiscal aspects of the proposed Budget. This report and recommendation for adoption of the Draft 2008-2009 Draft Budget and Business Plan reflects the input received at the Budget Committee meeting. The Draft Budget and Business Plan outlines the Authority's goals and objectives for the coming year as the Orangeline Maglev Project completes Phase 1 planning and engineering and prepares to undertake Phase 2 pre-deployment tasks. Major Project milestones for the three following years are also presented.

During the current fiscal year, the Authority accomplished the following key objectives:

- Completed the Orangeline High Speed Maglev Milestone 10 Financial Plan Supplement. This financial analysis updated the \$1.35 million Phase 1 preliminary engineering and financial analysis completed in partnership with ARCADIS and its team of 21 local, national and international firms; the supplemental analysis re-affirmed the potential financial viability of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev as a primarily privately-funded transportation improvement, when undertaken in conjunction with a transit-oriented corridor development strategy.
- Met with and secured feedback from investment bankers and potential development partners in regard to the Authority's program and confirmed private sector interest in investing in the Project. Conducted an investor and developer conference in Sacramento attended by Legislative leaders, key Administration officials and private sector representatives.

- Secured approvals from the city councils of the City of Santa Ana and City of Glendale to join the Authority. It is anticipated that the City of Glendale will execute the joint powers agreement and become a member of the Authority. Santa Ana's participation was conditioned on funding from OCTA, which was not granted.
- Gained significant awareness and interest in the Project through the RTP approval process, secured inclusion of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev in the 2008 Regional Transportation Plan – Strategic Plan and obtained agreement by SCAG, LACMTA and OCTA to fund a \$3 million Orangeline High Speed Maglev corridor "Orange Alignment Transit Study" (OATS).
- Attracted interest of the Governor's Office, including the interest of Governor Schwarzenegger's Deputy Chief of Staff and Cabinet Secretary Dan Dunmoyer, who participated in the Authority's Sacramento Investor conference, along with Caltrans Director Will Kempton; Secretary Dunmoyer expressed a desire to review a publicprivate sector proposal to finance the Orangeline. Actions to securing such a proposal are well underway.
- Maintained the Orangeline High Speed Maglev Corridor Development Project website at www.orangeline.calmaglev.org to keep Project stakeholders informed and to broaden public interest in the Project.

During Fiscal Year 2008-2009, the Authority's main goal will be to secure funding for and initiate Phase 2. To accomplish this goal, the Authority will release an Invitation for Offers (IFO) from the infrastructure and real estate developer and investor community. A second goal will be to add additional cities as members of the Authority. The third goal will be to increase legislative and community leader support and broaden public awareness of and support for the Project.

Key objectives related to accomplishing these goals include:

- By December 31, 2008
 - Secure proposals from development and investment partners to fund the Phase 2 Pre-deployment tasks
 - Secure support of additional cities in Los Angeles County as members of the Authority
 - Secure State Legislature and Governor support
 - Coordinate with SCAG, MTA and OCTA for the Orange Alignment Transit Study (OATS)
- By June 30, 2009
 - o Enter into financial agreements with investment partners to fund Phase 2.
 - Secure membership or supporting resolutions from additional cities along the corridor in support of the Orangeline High Speed Magley.
 - Support completion of the SCAG, MTA and OCTA "OATS" study.
 - Develop agreements with the Authority's development partner and other firms to enable Phase 2 work to proceed.

Due to funding uncertainties, three budgets are presented for Authority Board review. The first is the Base Budget. This Budget is predicated upon anticipated member contributions

from current active member agencies. The Authority Board Budget Committee, on recommendation of South Gate City Manager Ron Bates, recommended that member assessments for Fiscal Year 2008-2009 be reduced by 5% compared to current year rates to reflect the fiscal distress that cities are currently experiencing. This rate results in an approximate 9% reduction in member assessments, when compared to current-year rates, taking cost inflation into account. The recommended rate reduction is incorporated into the Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget recommendations. The Base Budget is \$222,000, of which an estimated \$30,000 will cover carry-over expenses from the current fiscal year, leaving \$192,000 available for next year's program. This Base Budget includes \$70,000 in in-kind investment contributions from the Authority's private partners and \$152,000 in current-member investment contributions.

The second budget is the Proposed Budget. This Budget increases available funding by including the anticipated member assessment revenues from the City of Glendale. The City has not yet executed the First Amended Joint Powers Agreement, and thus revenues from the City of Glendale are not yet assured. Staff recommends that the Authority approve both the Base Budget and the Proposed Budget, with approval of the Proposed Budget contingent upon payment of the member assessment by the City of Glendale. This recommendation is reflected in the attached resolution.

Caltrans is processing the federal grant application submitted by the Authority for federal funding in the amount of \$240,000. These potential funds are reflected in the Enhanced Budget. Based on recent discussion with Caltrans staff, it is likely that the grant agreement will not be executed until the second quarter of the new fiscal year. It is recommended that once the agreement with Caltrans has been executed, the Board consider a Budget amendment to incorporate the additional grant funding.

Draft Budget tables reviewed by the Budget Committee are shown in Attachment 3. The Budget Committee requested that Fiscal Year 2007-2008 Budget tables be provided to the Authority Board to facilitate a comparison of the Proposed Budget for next year with the current year adopted Budget. These tables are included in Attachment 4.

Approval of the Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget requires approval by a 2/3 vote of the Authority Board (a minimum 9 votes) per the Authority's 1st Amended Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (Sec. 3.2(a) (1)).

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. A Resolution of the Authority Board of Directors of the Orangeline Development Authority Adopting the Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget and Business Plan
- 2. Proposed Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget and Business Plan
- 3. Draft Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget Tables (Presented to Authority Board Budget Committee on June 5, 2008)
- 4. Fiscal Year 2007-2008 Budget Tables

RESOLUTION NO. 08-___

A RESOLUTION OF THE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY ADOPTING THE FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009 BUDGET AND BUSINESS PLAN

WHEREAS, the Authority Board of Directors has given careful consideration to the Proposed Budget and Business Plan recommended by the Executive Director for Fiscal Year 2008-2009.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Authority Board adopts the Draft Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget and Business Plan presented and reviewed, and as may have been amended, at the Authority Board meeting of June 11, 2008.

SECTION 2. The Executive Director is hereby authorized to expend, in accordance with the laws of the State of California and Authority policies and procedures, on behalf of the Authority Board of Directors, an amount of \$222,000 for the Authority programs detailed in the **Base Budget** for Fiscal Year 2008-2009. The authorized expenditure level is increased to \$253,000, as detailed in the **Proposed Budget**, conditioned on receipt of anticipated revenues from the City of Glendale, which is expected to become a member of the Authority. The expenditure levels may be adjusted by and upon any supplemental revisions or amendments hereto authorized by the Authority Board of Directors:

	Base Budget	Proposed Budget		
For FY 2007-2008 Expenditures	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000		
Policy and Administration	83,000	87,000		
Organizational Development	24,000	64,000		
Project Development	85,000	101,000		
Total:	<u>\$ 222,000</u>	\$ 282,000		

SECTION 3. The amount of dollars specified above is hereby appropriated from the following funds:

General Funds	\$ 222,000	\$ 282,000
Grant Funds	0	0
Total	\$ 222,000	\$ 282,000

SECTION 4. The amount of dollars appropriated to cover carry-over FY 2007-2008 expenditures, for Policy and Administration and for Organizational Development is drawn from the following revenue sources:

	ution 08 1, 2008 2				
	Member Investment Contributions In-kind Investment Contributions	\$	132,000 5,000	\$ 1 	176,000 <u>5,000</u>
	Total	(\$ 137,000	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>181,000</u>
drawn	SECTION 5 . The amount of dollars appr from the following revenue sources:	ор	riated for Project	De	velopment is
	Member Investment Contributions In-kind Investment Contributions		\$ 20,000 65,000	\$	36,000 <u>65,000</u>
	Total		\$ 85,000	<u>\$ 1</u>	101,000
above	SECTION 6 . The amount of appropriated is drawn from the following revenue sour			on (dollars specified
	ARCADIS Albert Perdon & Associates Transit Media Consultants		\$ 25,000 40,000 5,000	\$	25,000 40,000 5,000
	Total		\$ 70,000	\$	70,000
Author author	SECTION 5. The appropriations specified that authorized for each program in each further ty Executive Director, with the concurrent to make budget transfers between each, such transfers are necessary and property.	und nce act	d, subject to avai e of the Authority n program in eac	labi Cha h fu	lity of funds. The air, is hereby and if, in their
	SECTION 6 . The Secretary shall certify	to	the adoption of t	his I	Resolution.
	APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 11 th da	ay (of June, 2008.		
		(Chair		

ATTEST:

Secretary

Page 2
I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Authority Board of Directors of the Orangeline Development Authority at a regular meeting held on the 11 th day of June, 2008, by the following vote, to wit:
AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:
Secretary

Resolution 08-___ June 11, 2008

ORANGELINE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

DRAFT FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009 BUDGET and

BUSINESS PLAN

June 11, 2008

Orangeline Development Authority DRAFT Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget and Business Plan

I. Summary

The Orangeline Development Authority Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget and Business Plan describes the Authority's goals and objectives for the next four years and the work plan for FY 2008-2009. The Authority's vision is to place into operation a high-speed maglev system to serve its member cities and the region, in conjunction with station-area housing, office, retail and other real estate improvements to accommodate a growing population within the Authority's member cities.

As currently defined, the Orangeline High Speed Maglev spans a 108-mile development corridor from northern Los Angeles County to southern Orange County. Efforts continue to secure the support and membership of all cities along the proposed corridor. As additional cities join the Authority, the scope of the corridor development program could expand. The Orangeline High Speed Maglev is expected to stimulate the development goals of member cities and address near-term and long-term mobility needs of the corridor and the region.

In November 2006, the Authority and its development partner ARCADIS completed Phase 1 preliminary engineering and financial analysis for the Orangeline High Speed Maglev. The Authority updated the financial analysis in 2007 and in 2008; the current update is documented in the Orangeline High Speed Maglev Milestone 10 Financial Plan Supplement. The engineering cost analyses and ridership modeling, combined with the financial analyses, indicate that the Orangeline Maglev will be financially feasible as a largely privately-funded improvement. The results confirm the conclusion of initial maglev project feasibility studies completed in 2002. As described in the Milestone 10 – Orangeline High Speed Maglev Financial Plan Supplement, the Project would be able to pay off debt associated with construction and operation about 32 years after operation begins. By 2050, the system could produce a surplus of \$36 billion. The value that the Orangeline High Speed Maglev could potentially bring to its members cities is illustrated in the Appendix, which shows the current value to each corridor city of the financial model-projected \$36 billion Project surplus in current dollars.

The Draft Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget has been developed based on the positive results of Phase 1 preliminary engineering and financial analyses, on the indication that continued investment in the Project is warranted, on the positive responses from investment bankers, and on the basis that the Authority's member cities desire to continue pursuing development of the Project.

The Authority set a goal in the prior year Budget to place the initial Orangeline Maglev segment into operation by 2014 and the entire 108-mile system by 2018. This year's Budget establishes revised goals based on progress achieved to date and a clearer assessment of the schedule requirements that lie ahead. The Budget and Business Plan sets 2016 as the target date for beginning operations and 2020 for completion of the full Project. During the coming Budget year, Authority activities will focus on achieving the following objectives:

	FY 2008-2009 PROGRAM MILESTONES							
	PROPOSED BUDGET	\$252,000						
A.	Secure developer/investor offers and enter into financial agreements to fund Phase 2 pre-deployment tasks							
B.	Secure membership or supporting resolutions from additional cities along the corridor in support of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev							
C.	Secure State Legislature and Governor support							
D.	Support completion of the SCAG, MTA and OCTA Orange Alignment Transit Study (OATS)							
E.	Develop agreements with the Authority's development partner and other firms to enable Phase 2 work to proceed.							

Budget Assumptions

The proposed Budget for FY 2008-2009 is \$252,000. This budget is predicated on a base budget of \$222,000 and the addition of approximately \$60,000 in anticipated member assessment revenues from the City of Glendale, minus a carry-over expenditure of \$30,000 from the prior fiscal year. The Budget includes \$70,000 in in-kind contributions from the Authority's private partners.

An enhanced budget is presented that includes potential funding of \$240,000 from a federal grant that has been applied for and is expected to be made available within or shortly after the first quarter of the fiscal year.

It is estimated that completion of pre-deployment planning for the entire 108-mile line will require a budget of up to \$200 million. Therefore, the primary objective during the coming year will be to secure the additional investments needed to complete Phase 2 pre-deployment tasks.

Development Partner

In August 2005, the Authority entered into an agreement with ARCADIS, a global infrastructure development firm headquartered in the Netherlands with offices throughout the United States, including Orange County. ARCADIS is a knowledge-driven service provider active in the fields of infrastructure, buildings, environment and communications. The firm is leading a consortium of 21 local, national and international companies that have partnered with the Authority to advance the Orangeline Maglev Project. The team invested \$1.1 million in the Orangeline Maglev Phase 1 Preliminary Engineering work program. During FY 2008-2009, as in FY 2007-2008, the ARCADIS team will continue to assist in securing both funding and government agency support for the Project.

II. Background Information.

Authority Background and Profile

The Orangeline Development Authority was organized as an association of local cities beginning in March 2003 with a vision of building a high-speed "maglev" transportation system to serve its member cities. Called the Orangeline High Speed Maglev, the advanced magnetic levitation technology transport system is intended to support the realization of each member city's general plans for future growth, and to address current transportation, housing, environmental and economic issues. The Authority is currently comprised of 13 active cities along a 108-mile corridor stretching from north Los Angels County into Orange County, California. Recently, the City of Glendale City Council approved a motion for the City to join as a member of the Authority.

The Authority has been created pursuant to Section 6502 of the Joint Exercise of Powers Act of the State of California, California Government Code sections 6500-6599.2, inclusive. The purpose of the Authority, as outlined in the Authority Agreement, is to pursue its stated objective to use the common powers of its members to enter into one or more public-private partnerships to finance, acquire, design, construct, reconstruct, improve, and operate the facilities and improvements to the Orangeline Maglev as may be approved by action of the Authority.

The Authority is governed by a Board of Directors composed of one person designated as a Director by the governing body of each of the members. Each member also appoints one Alternate Director. Downey City Councilmember Kirk Cartozian currently serves as Chair of the Authority Board. Cerritos Mayor Pro Tem Bruce Barrows currently serves as Vice Chair. Vernon City Councilmember Mike McCormick serves as Secretary. Colantuono & Levin serves as Legal Counsel. Rory Burnett serves as Treasurer. Albert Perdon & Associates is contracted with the Authority to provide executive management services. Albert Perdon serves as Executive Director of the Authority. The Authority Board meets regularly in open session on the second Wednesday of each month. Meeting notices and agendas are posted at www.orangeline.calmaglev.org and at public meeting notice locations of each member city.

III. Accomplishments during FY 2007-2008

During the previous fiscal year, the Authority accomplished the following key objectives:

- Completed the Orangeline High Speed Maglev Milestone 10 Financial Plan Supplement.
 This financial analysis updated the \$1.35 million Phase 1 preliminary engineering and financial analysis completed in partnership with ARCADIS and its team of 21 local, national and international firms; the analysis demonstrates the potential financial viability of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev as a primarily privately-funded transportation improvement, when undertaken in conjunction with a transit-oriented corridor development strategy.
- Met with Wall Street bankers to secure feedback on the Authority's program and assess private sector interest in investing in the Project. Conducted an investor and development conference in Sacramento attended by Legislative leaders, key Administration officials and private sector representatives.
- Secured approvals from the city councils of the City of Santa Ana and City of Glendale
 for their cities to join the Authority. It is anticipated that the City of Glendale will execute
 the joint powers agreement and become a member of the Authority.

- Gained significant awareness and interest in the Project through the SCAG RTP
 approval process, secured inclusion of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev in the 2008
 Regional Transportation Plan Strategic Plan and obtained agreement by SCAG,
 LACMTA an OCTA to fund a \$3 million Orangeline High Speed Maglev corridor transit
 study.
- Attracted interest of the Governor's Office in the Orangeline Corridor Development
 Project, including the interest of Governor Schwarzenegger's Deputy Chief of Staff and
 Cabinet Secretary who expressed a desire to review a private sector proposal to finance
 the Orangeline; securing such a proposal is well underway.
- Maintained the Orangeline High Speed Maglev Corridor Development Project website at <u>www.orangeline.calmaglev.org</u>, to keep Project stakeholders informed and to broaden public interest in the Project.
- Undertook the following activities aimed at securing funding and government approvals for the next Project development phase.
 - Coordinated with the California Department of Transportation to secure a federal grant in the amount of \$280,000 made available to the Authority in a SAFETEA-LU transportation authorization; it is anticipated that the grant will be approved during the first quarter of FY 2008.
 - Prepared an Invitation for Offers to private investors and developers for funding in the amount of \$200 million for the next development phase.
 - Met with State legislators representing districts along the Orangeline Maglev corridor to inform them about the Project and to gain their support for the Project and for the Authority's proposal for State participation.

IV. Strategic Plan

The Authority's vision is to build and put into operation a high-speed maglev system – called the Orangeline High Speed Maglev – that will provide to Authority member cities' residents, businesses and visitors a safe, high-quality transportation service. The system would foster moderate- to high-density housing and commercial and retail development around stations located along the Orangeline Maglev development corridor, as envisioned in the general plans of each city.

Since its inception, the Authority's mission has been to study the feasibility of building and operating the Orangeline Maglev system as a primarily privately funded enterprise, and upon confirming its viability and approving its implementation, to bring the Orangeline Maglev into fruition as quickly as possible. Development of the Orangeline Maglev is being accomplished in phases. Phase 1 consists of engineering and financial planning to establish the over-all Project definition, verify Project viability and preparing a financial plan. Also included are additional tasks to secure funding and prepare for Phase 2. Phase 2 consists of more detailed design engineering and financial planning to enable the Project to obtain private construction financing, to ensure public and member agency support of the proposed Project, to obtain required government approvals and comply with all environmental review requirements, and to secure Project construction financing. Phase 3 consists of building the system and placing it into operation. Phase 4 consists of providing safe, reliable high-speed maglev service and assisting member cities in achieving station-area development goals.

In November 2006, the Authority completed Phase 1 preliminary engineering and financial planning, which confirmed the Project's potential financial viability as a primarily privately funded enterprise. Key results of this analysis and related policy decisions were documented in a

series of milestone reports. In November 2006, with Authority Board adoption of the Milestone 10 – Orangeline High Speed Maglev Financial Plan, the team completed the Phase 1 preliminary engineering work. During the remaining part of Fiscal Year 2006-2007 and into Fiscal Year 2007-2008, the Authority initiated efforts to secure funding and legislative support for the Project. Discussions were initiated with Wall Street investment bankers to assess the potential investment community interest in the Orangeline High Speed Maglev. These meetings confirmed that there is investor interest in the Project. Key investor requirements were identified in the meetings. The key factor in the minds of potential investors is the issue of risk. Two potential risk areas were identified. The first is the Project risks associated with cost and revenue projections. It appears that potential investors believe these risks can be assessed and managed. The second risk factor is with the government. The uncertainty of government commitment to the Project and the ability and willingness of the government agencies to partner with the private sector was identified as a more challenging hurdle to overcome. It was clear that a demonstrated strong commitment to the Project by all government agencies concerned would be required to attract private investment.

In May 2008, the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) approved the updated 2008 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). Following considerable debate over a period of several months, the Orangeline High Speed Maglev Corridor Development Project was included in the RTP Strategic Plan. The Authority's efforts to secure inclusion of the Project in the RTP resulted in agreement by SCAG, the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) to fund and undertake the \$3 million Orange Alignment Transit Study (OATS).

The private sector's apprehensions about government's intent are based on prior experience and attempted projects with less than satisfactory results. Meetings over the past year with local elected officials, State legislators, and government agency officials have increased awareness and interest in the Project. While there is a growing interest in and support for the Project, doubts continue regarding the Authority's ability to secure private funding.

As stated in one of the early meetings with investment bankers, the Authority may be in somewhat of a "Catch 22" situation in which potential investors may not be sure that the government is committed and government may not think that private investors will be interested. The Authority's job is to bring stakeholders together to demonstrate the Project's benefits for both public and private interests, to demonstrate that the Authority studies are credible and can serve as a reliable foundation upon which to move forward, and that the Project can be realized if there is a will to make it happen.

To achieve that objective, the Authority will focus on the following tasks:

- a. Secure developer/investor offers and enter into financial agreements to fund Phase 2 pre-deployment tasks. The Authority will issue a solicitation to secure private investment in Phase 2. It is anticipated that the responses to this solicitation and the selection of an investment team will occur in the second half of the fiscal year. The Authority will continue its pursuit of a State loan guarantee for some or all of the funding required for completing Phase 2, as well as other sources of credit enhancements, as a means to attract competitive private investment proposals and to reduce borrowing costs.
- b. Secure membership or supporting resolutions from additional cities along the corridor in support of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev. Discussions have been held with all cities along the Orangeline Maglev corridor since before the Authority was formed. During the past year, those discussions focused on securing the City of Santa Ana and the

- City of Glendale as new members. The Authority will continue its efforts to secure participation of additional cities. Meetings will be held with local elected officials and city staff to discuss the benefits that the Project will bring to their cities.
- c. Secure State Legislature and Governor Support. The Authority will follow-up on its efforts during the past year to secure support of the State Legislature and Governor. The Governor's Deputy Chief of Staff and Cabinet Secretary, Dan Dunmoyer, spoke at the Authority's investor and developer conference in Sacramento in March 2008, expressing support for the Authority's initiatives and the desire to see a private-sector proposal for investment in the Project. Key Legislative leaders and the Director of Caltrans also participated in the conference. Follow-up meetings will be held with members of the Legislature and the Governor and State agency heads to secure their support for the Project.
- d. Support completion of the SCAG, MTA and OCTA Orange Alignment Transit Study. The Authority will be an active participant in this study and help to ensure that the interests of its member agencies are well represented. The Authority will provide background information on the studies undertaken by the Authority and ARCADIS and assist in the evaluation of needs, issues, and goals, and of the costs and benefits of alternative transit solutions in the corridor. The Authority will strive to ensure that the Orangeline High Speed Maglev receives fair consideration as an alternative transportation solution.
- e. Develop agreements with the Authority's development partner and other firms to enable Phase 2 work to proceed. The preparation of partnering and services agreements, as well as funding agreements, is essential to the Authority successfully carrying out its work plan. Upon success in securing funding for Phase 2, the Authority and ARCADIS will negotiate a work plan and agreement to establish roles, responsibilities and other terms for the ensuing work. It is anticipated that the Authority will require support services beyond those currently available that must be procured and for which contracts will be required.

A number of the tasks described above constitute the elements of an intense pubic outreach program aimed not only at informing stakeholder groups of the merits of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev, but also at securing the support required to move the Project forward. The Authority will seek the support of its member agencies to conduct public outreach and to be strong advocates for the Project.

Completion of the Fiscal Year 2008-2009 work program sets the stage for Phase 2. This Project development phase completes the pre-deployment tasks necessary to enable implementation of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev. Key milestones and budgets for Phase 2 are shown below.

	Phase 2 Pre-deployment Milestones	
	FY 2009-2010 MILESTONES	
	YEAR 2 (PROJECTED BUDGET)	\$50,000,000
A.	Secure participation of stakeholder public agencies	
B.	Identify potential fatal flaws; develop mitigation strategies	
C.	Secure conditional Phase 3 financing commitments	
D.	Develop preliminary station area specific plans	
E.	Advance preliminary engineering to 5% of total design	
F.	Initiate environmental review process – circulate draft EIR/EIS	
G.	Conduct public outreach	

	FY 2010-2011 MILESTONES	
	YEAR 3 (PROJECTED BUDGET)	\$75,000,000
H.	Advance Phase 2 Preliminary Engineering to 10% of total design	
I.	Secure additional rights-of-way agreements	
J.	Develop financing plan/prospectus for construction financing	
K.	Secure required inter-agency agreements/permits	
L.	Secure agreements with developer team and Project partners	
M.	Advance development of station area plans	
N.	Conduct public outreach	
Ο.	Develop final EIR/EIS; circulate for public comment	
	FY 2011-2012 MILESTONES	
	YEAR 4 (PROJECTED BUDGET)	\$75,000,000
P.	Advance Phase 2 Preliminary Engineering to 15% of total design	
ġ	Adopt the EIR/EIS and mitigation plan	
R.	Acquire rights of way (right of way protection/use permits)	
S.	Adopt station area specific plans	
T.	Finalize financing plan; issue prospectus	
U.	Sell construction bonds to finance construction	
V.	Develop and implement public private partnership agreements	

V. Budget

Expenditures

Projected expenditures are shown in the table below. Expenditures are tied to achieving the objectives and major milestones discussed in the previous sections. Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget Tables Reflecting Budget Committee Recommendations of June 5, 2008

Draft Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget Tables Reflecting Budget Committee Recommendations

1. Base Budget						
(9% rate reduction; excludes \$30,000 carry-over FY 2007-2008 expenses)						
·			Consultant S	Services	•	
	DOADD	EXECUTIVE	GENERAL	4 D Q 4 D Q	OTUED	TOTAL
Delian and Administration	BOARD	DIRECTOR	COUNSEL	ARCADIS	OTHER	TOTAL
Policy and Administration	0.000	22.222				83,000
Board Activities	8,000	20,000				28,000
Executive Management		14,000				14,000
Legal			6,000			6,000
Insurance						
Other Administrative		25,000			9,000	34,000
Organizational Dev./Coordination						25,000
Increase Authority Membership		10,000				10,000
Secure Operating Revenues		5,000				5,000
Interagency Coordination		10,000				10,000
Project Development						85,000
Complete Phase 1 Prelim. Engr.						
Secure Phase 2 Funding		60,000		25,000		85,000
Secure State Legislation						
Public Funding	8,000	99,000	6,000		9,000	122,000
Private In-kind		45,000		25,000		70,000
Grand Total	8,000	144,000	6,000	25,000	9,000	192,000

The above Base Budget is predicated on \$30,000 in anticipated carry-over expenditures from Fiscal Year 2007-2008 and on an approximate 9% revenue reduction from Fiscal Year 2006-2007 member cities annual assessments in Fiscal Year 2008-2009, taking into account a 4 percent cost inflation rate. Two additional funding sources have been identified that would provide an added \$300,000 in revenue, for a total of \$492,000 in anticipated revenues. Success in securing these added revenues would enable an increase in the budget to support the primary objectives for FY 2008-2009.

The table on the following page shows the allocation of additional revenues to these program tasks.

2. Proposed Budget						
(Includes an added \$60,000 from Glendale; excludes \$30,000 carry-over FY 2007-2008 expenses)						
			Consultant S	Services		
		EXECUTIVE	GENERAL			
	BOARD	DIRECTOR	COUNSEL	ARCADIS	OTHER	TOTAL
Policy and Administration						87,000
Board Activities	8,000	20,000				28,000
Executive Management		14,000				14,000
Legal			10,000			10,000
Insurance						
Other Administrative		25,000			10,000	35,000
Organizational Dev./Coord.						64,000
Increase Authority Membership		20,000				20,000
Secure Operating Revenues		15,000				15,000
Interagency Coordination		20,000			9,000	29,000
Project Development						101,000
Complete Phase 1 Prelim. Engr.						101,000
Secure Phase 2 Funding		66,000		25,000		91,000
Secure State/Fed Legislation		10,000		,		,
	_		_			_
Public Funding	8,000	145,000	10,000	0	19,000	182,000
Private In-kind	0	45,000		25,000		70,000
Grand Total	8,000	190,000	10,000	25,000	19,000	252,000

3. Enhanced Budget						
(Includes Federal Grant; includes Glendale; excludes \$30,000 carry-over FY 2007-2008 expenses)						
			Consultant S	Services		
		EXECUTIVE	GENERAL			
	BOARD	DIRECTOR	COUNSEL	ARCADIS	OTHER	TOTAL
Policy and Administration						126,000
Board Activities	12,000	20,000				32,000
Executive Management		14,000				14,000
Legal			20,000			20,000
Insurance						
Other Administrative		10,000			50,000	60,000
Organizational Dev./Coord.						145,000
Increase Authority Membership		30,000				30,000
Secure Operating Revenues		30,000				30,000
Interagency Coordination		20,000		15,000	50,000	85,000
Project Development						221,000
Complete Phase 1 Prelim. Engr.						
Secure Phase 2 Funding		66,000	60,000	55,000	10,000	191,000
Secure State/Fed Legislation		30,000				30,000
Public Funding	12,000	175,000	80,000	45,000	110,000	422,000
Private In-kind		45,000		25,000		70,000
Grand Total	12,000	220,000	80,000	70,000	110,000	492,000

Revenues

The proposed Budget is predicated on the anticipated revenues from existing sources. These sources include anticipated current member investments of approximately \$161,600. Additional revenues would be realized if the Authority is successful in attracting additional cities to become members of the Authority. A list of potential new members and the annual member

		d/Potential Revenues		
Beginning Balance		•		(\$30,000.00)
Current Member Investments				\$151,994.96
			Subtotal	\$121,994.96
Development Partner In-kind				\$25,000.00
Other In-kind			Subtotal	\$45,000.00
			Subtotal	\$70,000.00
		FY 2008-20	009 Revenue Base	\$191,994.96
	Antic	ipated Revenues		
New Member Investments				\$59,909.12
Federal Grant				\$240,000.00
Other Grants			Subtotal	\$0.00 \$299,909.12
				·
	To		Public Investments	\$421,904.07
	10	tal Anticipated Private I	II-KIIIU IIIVESIIIIEIIIS	\$70,000.00
		Total Anti	cipated Revenues	\$491,904.07
	Soul	ce of Revenues		
Current Members		Annual Investment	Matching Funds	Total
City of Artesia		\$4,839.29		\$4,839.29
City of Bell		\$3,914.56		\$3,914.56
City of Bellflower		\$14,213.98		\$14,213.98
City of Cudoby		\$13,560.05 \$4,460.17		\$13,560.05 \$4,460.17
City of Cudahy City of Downey		\$4,460.17 \$8,111.96		\$4,460.17 \$8,111.96
City of Huntington Park		\$8,282.46		\$8,282.46
City of Los Alamitos		\$0.00		\$0.00
City of Maywood		\$2,779.21		\$2,779.21
City of Palmdale		\$25,465.22		\$25,465.22
City of Paramount		\$11,300.38		\$11,300.38
City of Santa Clarita		\$30,713.72		\$30,713.72
City of South Gate		\$18,835.64		\$18,835.64
City of Vernon		\$5,518.30		\$5,518.30
	Subtotal	\$151,994.96		\$151,994.96

Anticipated and Potential New Member Revenues							
Anticipated New Member		Annual Investment	Matching Funds	Total			
City of Glendale		\$26,214.27	\$33,694.84	\$59,909.12			
	Subtotal	\$26,214.27	\$33,694.84	\$59,909.12			
Potential New Members (Not I	ncluded in Bud	get)					
City of San Fernando		\$6,705.07					
City of Burbank		\$22,317.92					
City of Lancaster		\$24,773.17					
County of Los Angeles		\$184,396.66					
City of Los Angeles		\$165,488.81					
La Palma		\$4,453.15					
Cypress		\$13,083.65					
Buena Park		\$9,278.41					
Anaheim		\$37,404.48					
Orange		\$18,170.55					
Stanton		\$9,515.11					
Garden Grove		\$36,801.70					
Santa Ana		\$49,703.81					
Tustin		\$22,374.09					
Irvine		\$23,212.56					
	Subtotal	\$627,679.14					

Return on Investments

Revenues from member cities and in-kind contributions are considered as investments in the Orangeline High Speed Maglev Corridor Development Project, as opposed to donations or grants to the Authority. The Authority anticipates that the Project will generate sufficient revenues from operation of the Orangeline Maglev system and from station area development to cover Project capital and operating costs, and to generate a return on investments in the Project. The potential level of Project revenues to member cities from Orangeline Maglev operation is shown in the Appendix.

It is anticipated that government loans/loan guarantees and private financing would be secured following Phase 1, as described in previous sections. These revenues would be used to fund Phase 2 tasks, including preparation of the Project Economic and Environmental Impact Report, engineering plans, rights-of-way agreements, financial plans and public-private partnership agreements. At the conclusion of Phase 2, bonds would be sold and other loans would be secured to finance construction of the Orangeline High Speed Maglev.

Investments by member cities and in-kind contributions could remain with the Authority to cover the Project's funding needs through construction and beyond, or be withdrawn, with interest, at an earlier date. The return on investment would be based on each party's equity in the Project. The Phase 2 financial plans would describe these opportunities.

Anticipated and Potential Additional Revenue Sources

As indicated in the Anticipated/Potential Revenue table above, the Fiscal Year 2008-2009 **Base Budget** is predicated on a carry-over of \$30,000 in anticipated expenses from Fiscal Year 2007-2008 and anticipated new revenues from existing sources. These sources include anticipated current member investments of \$151,995, which is calculated assuming FY 2007-2008 rates reduced by 5 percent, with no adjustment for inflation, for a real reduction of about 9 percent.

Additional anticipated revenues from the City of Glendale, which has approved membership in the Authority, have been identified and are included in the **Proposed Budget**. The Proposed Budget is recommended for adoption, with the understanding that expenditures would be conditioned on receipt of member assessments from the City of Glendale, and from other the other identified sources.

The **Enhanced Budget** identifies additional revenues from the federal grant previously described. Approval of the Enhanced Budget would be brought forward for consideration by the Authority Board once the grant has been approved and funding is available to the Authority.

The likelihood of securing additional resources is described below. If the Authority is successful in securing additional resources during the fiscal year beyond those contained in the Proposed Budget, the Authority Board would be given an opportunity to consider one or more Budget amendments to decide on the use of these additional funds.

The following anticipated and potential revenues sources have been identified:

1. New Member Investments

The City of Glendale City Council approved a motion calling for the City to join the Authority. It is anticipated that the City will follow-through on this action by entering into the Authority Amended Joint Powers Agreement and contribute its member assessment in FY 2008-2009. Member assessments for the City of Glendale are included in the Proposed Budget. The City of Santa Ana City Council voted to join the Authority. However, based on recent events, it is anticipated that the City will not join the Authority in FY 2008-2009.

2. Federal Grant

The source of funding indicated in the Enhanced Budget is derived from the SAFETEA-LU authorization of \$280,000 for the Orangeline Maglev Project. Caltrans has completed its pre-award audit of the Authority as a precedent to executing an agreement with the Authority for appropriated federal funding. The Authority's grant submittal is currently under review. It is anticipated that the grant will be executed in the 2nd quarter of the fiscal year. A Budget amendment would be provided for Authority Board consideration following approval of the grant agreement.

3. Other Grants

The Authority has considered possible funding opportunities from other sources.
 They include Los Angeles World Airports, the Ports of Long Beach and Los
 Angeles, the South Coast Air Quality District, Caltrans and a small number of private foundations. Meetings were held with a number of these agencies to

- pursue potential funding. More work is required to determine if funding can be obtained from these sources during the coming fiscal year.
- The infrastructure bond bill on the November 2006 ballot was passed by the voters and is now making available billions of dollars for transportation improvements. The Authority's earlier predictions that securing significant funding from this source would be difficult have been proven to be true so far, as Caltrans responded to the Authority's proposal for a loan guarantee by saying that the Authority and the Orangeline do not qualify for Bond funding. The Authority should continue to lobby for a portion of available funding.
- FRA/FTA The Authority could pursue additional funding from the FRA (Federal Railroad Administration) or FTA (Federal Transit Administration), or from other federal sources. Discussions with key agency representatives and a review of potential funding programs and the process for securing one or more grants from these agencies suggests that it would be difficult to secure near-term funding from these sources. (Las Vegas was recently awarded a \$45 million federal grant following almost 20 years of effort.) Never-the-less, the Authority should continue to coordinate with Congresswoman Linda Sanchez and other corridor representatives to seek federal funding assistance, particularly as a change in the Administration may lead to a more receptive atmosphere for funding, and as Congress begins debating the new transportation authorization bill during the coming year.
- SCAG has been a strong supporter of maglev deployment in Southern California. SCAG is currently focused on the West Los Angeles-to-Ontario Airport maglev project. At its meeting of December 5, 2002, the SCAG Regional Council approved a motion calling for the SCAG Maglev Task force to advance planning on Segment #33 (LAX Palmdale) and Segment #28 (LA Orange County) (Orangeline Maglev) projects and to assist and secure federal, state, and local funds. More recently, SCAG has placed the Orangeline in the Strategic Plan. A cooperative agreement has been reached whereby SCAG/MTA/OCTA will fund a \$3 million corridor study (Orangeline Alignment Transit Study) to assess potential transit solutions for the corridor. It is not clear if a portion of these funds will be made available to the Authority to enable meaningful participation in the study.
- 4. Development Partner and other private Investments
 - The Authority is pursuing additional in-kind contributions and cash investments from current and future development partners. The prospects for success are judged to be favorable but uncertain; a recent investor and developer conference and follow-up meetings with investment bankers and potential development partners indicate that there is private-sector interest and a reasonable chance of success in securing private investment. An Invitation for Offers is being prepared and is planned to be released in the first quarter of Fiscal Year 2008-2009.

Orangeline Development Authority Potential Orangeline High Speed Maglev Project Revenues to Member Cities (Based on a cumulative Project surplus of \$36 billion in 2050)

	Assumed Fare (2007\$) =	\$18.03	Allocation based on population
	Cities	Population, 2000 (some 2001-2005)	Current Dollars 3% Discount
တ္သ	Artesia Bell	16,380 36,664	\$43,881,749 \$98,222,250
Active and Inactive Member Cities	Bellflower	74,900	\$200,655,862
er (Cerritos	51,488	\$137,935,501
ğμ	Cudahy	24,200	\$64,831,400
₩	Downey	110,600	\$296,295,573
e _	Huntington Park	61,348	\$164,350,278
acti	Los Alamitos	11,500	\$30,808,310
<u>n</u>	Maywood	28,083	\$75,233,893
pu	Palmdale	121,400	\$325,228,594
e e	Paramount	55,266	\$148,056,701
cti	Santa Clarita	155,100	\$415,510,337
⋖	South Gate	99,800	\$267,362,551
	Vernon	91	\$243,787
	Total Member Cities	846,820	\$2,268,616,788
LA ss	Burbank	102,400	\$274,327,908
ve l	Glendale	199,000	\$533,117,712
cti C	San Fernando	23,564	\$63,127,567
Prospective LA County Cities	City of LA (portion)	1,000,000	\$2,678,983,477
م م	County Unincorporated (portion)	50,000	\$133,949,174
	Total LA County Cities	2,210,284	\$5,921,314,315
ıty	La Palma	15,400	\$41,256,346
Orange County ities	Cypress	46,000	\$123,233,240
Ö	Buena Park	80,100	\$214,586,576
nge .	Stanton	38,300	\$102,605,067
e Oran Cities	Anaheim	336,300	\$900,942,143
	Garden Grove	169,000	\$452,748,208
cţi	Santa Ana	348100	\$932,554,148
be	Orange	128,000	\$342,909,885
Prospective (Tustin	69,200	\$185,385,657
Δ.	Irvine	191,000	\$511,685,844
	Total OC cities	1,432,900	\$3,838,715,424
	Total Cities California	3,643,184 33,871,648	\$9,760,029,739

Source: Orangeline Development Authority - ARCADIS Phase 1 Preliminary Engineering Milestone 10 - Financial Plan

Draft Fiscal Year 2008-2009 Budget Tables Presented to Budget Committee

on June 5, 2008

Base Budget

		Consultant Services				
Policy and Administration	BOARD	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	GENERAL COUNSEL	ARCADIS	OTHER	TOTAL
			COUNSEL	ARCADIS	OTHER	
Board Activities	8,000	20,000				28,000
Executive Management		14,000				14,000
Legal			6,000			6,000
Insurance						0
Other Administrative		25,000			10,000	35,000
Organizational Dev./Coord.						0
Increase Authority Membership		10,000				10,000
Secure Operating Revenues		5,000				5,000
		,				
Interagency Coordination		10,000				10,000
Project Development						0
Complete Phase 1 Prelim. Engr.						0
Secure Phase 2 Funding		68,000		25,000		93,000
Secure State Legislation						0
Public Funding	8,000	107,000	6,000	0	10,000	131,000
Private In-kind	0	45,000	0	25,000		70,000
Grand Total	8,000	152,000	6,000	25,000	10,000	201,000

	E	nhanced Budge	et			
		Consultant Services				
Policy and Administration	BOARD	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	GENERAL COUNSEL	ARCADIS	OTHER	TOT
Board Activities	12,000	20,000				32,0
Executive Management		14,000				14,0
Legal			20,000			20,0
Insurance						
Other Administrative		10,000			50,000	60,
Organizational Dev./Coord.						
Increase Authority Membership		30,000				30,
Secure Operating Revenues		30,000				30,
Interagency Coordination		20,000		15,000	50,000	85,
Project Development						
Complete Phase 1 Prelim. Engr.						
Secure Phase 2 Funding		66,000	60,000	55,000		181,
Secure State/Fed Legislation		30,000				30,
Public Funding	12,000	175,000	80,000	45,000	100,000	412,
Private In-kind	0	45,000	0	25,000		70,
Grand Total	12,000	220,000	80,000	70,000	100,000	482,0

ATTACHMENT 4

Proposed Fiscal Year 2007-2008 Budget Table

PROPOPED BUDGET						
		Consultants/Services				
	AUTHORITY BOARD	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	GENERAL COUNSEL	ARCADIS	OTHER	TOTAL
Policy and Administration						
Authority Board Activities	12,000	20,000			10,000	42,000
Executive Management		14,000				14,000
Legal			17,000			17,000
Insurance						0
Other Administrative		30,000			25,000	55,000
Organizational Development						
Increase Authority Membership		50,000		25,000	25,000	100,000
Secure Operating Revenues		30,000				30,000
Project Development						
Complete Phase 1 Prelim. Engr.						0
Secure Phase 2 Funding		56,000		125,000	56,000	237,000
Secure State Legislation		10,000	1,000	25,000	8,000	44,000
Public Funding	12,000	170,000	18,000	150,000	119,000	469,000
Private In-kind	0	40,000	0	25,000	5,000	70,000
Grand Total	12,000	210,000	18,000	175,000	124,000	539,000

Proposed Fiscal Year 2007-2008 Anticipated/Potential Revenues Table

	Antici	pated/Potential Revenues Anticipated Revenues	s	
Beginning Balance				\$94,781.79
Current Member Investments			0.14.4.1	\$161,578.31
			Subtotal	\$256,360.10
Development Partner In-kind				\$25,000.00
Other In-kind			Subtotal	\$45,000.00 \$70,000.00
				·
		FY 2007-2	2008 Revenue Base	\$326,360.10
		Potential Revenues		
New Member Investments*				\$15,323.51
Federal Grant				\$224,000.00
Other Grants			Subtotal	\$0.00 \$239,323.51
			2 0.0 10 10.	, ,
		Total Anticipated/Potentia		\$495,683.61
		Total Anticipated Private	In-Kind Investments	\$70,000.00
		Total Anticipated and I	Potential Revenues	\$565,683.61
		Source of Revenues		
Current Members		Annual Investment	Matching Funds	Total
City of Artesia		\$5,093.99	0	\$5,093.99
City of Bell		\$4,120.59	0	\$4,120.59
City of Bellflower		\$14,962.09	0	\$14,962.09
City of Cerritos		\$14,273.74 \$4,694.92	0	\$14,273.74
City of Cudahy City of Downey		\$8,538.91	0	\$4,694.92 \$8,538.01
City of Huntington Park		\$8,718.38	0	\$8,538.91 \$8,718.38
City of Los Alamitos		\$1,583.63	0	\$1,583.63
City of Maywood		\$2,925.48	0	\$2,925.48
City of Palmdale		\$26,805.49	0	\$26,805.49
City of Paramount		\$11,895.14	0	\$11,895.14
City of Santa Clarita		\$32,330.23	0	\$32,330.23
City of South Gate		\$19,826.99	0	\$19,826.99
City of Vernon		\$5,808.74	0	\$5,808.74
	Subtotal	\$161,578.31	\$0.00	\$161,578.31

Anticipated/Potential Revenues Table								
Anticipated New Member City of San Fernando		Annual Investment \$6,705.07	Matching Funds \$8,618.44	Total \$15,323.51				
City of San Femalido	Subtotal	\$6,705.07	\$8,618.44	\$15,323.51				
Potential New Members (Not Inc	cluded in Bud	get)						
City of Glendale		\$26,214.27						
City of Burbank		\$23,492.55						
City of Lancaster		\$26,077.03						
County of Los Angeles		\$194,101.75						
City of Los Angeles		\$174,198.75						
La Palma		\$4,687.53						
Cypress		\$13,772.26						
Buena Park		\$9,766.74						
Anaheim		\$39,373.14						
Orange		\$19,126.89						
Stanton		\$10,015.90						
Garden Grove		\$38,738.63						
Santa Ana		\$52,319.80						
Tustin		\$23,551.67						
Irvine		\$24,434.28						
	Subtotal	\$653,656.93						